

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,143	09/16/2003	Chia-Hsiang Chang	FNT-10202/15	2161
25006	7590 07/01/2005		EXAMINER	
GIFFORD, KRASS, GROH, SPRINKLE & CITKOWSKI, P.C PO BOX 7021 TROY, MI 48007-7021			MOHANDESI, ЛLА M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3728	·

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>a</i> .			
	Andination No.	<i>(</i> )			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/663,143	CHANG, CHIA-HSIANG			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jila M. Mohandesi	3728			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT!  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of the Information of	ON.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a non.  a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	20 April 2005.				
<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
3) Since this application is in condition for al		ers, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applic	ation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-20 is/are with					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.	•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers	• •				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	] accepted or b)☐ objected to I	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	orrection is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ments have been received in A	pplication No			
3 Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage			

Attachment(s)

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

4) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413
	Paner No/s\/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,143 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This application contains claims 11-20 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper filed April 20, 2005. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The subject matter of traversing of said zipper along said reclosable portions causing detachment of said looped header portion is not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention..
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 1 the phrase "traversing of said header"

Application/Control Number: 10/663,143 Page 3

Art Unit: 3728

along said reclosable portions causing detachment of said looped header portion" is vague and indefinite. It is not clear what structure is encompassed by such language.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Buchman (6,247,843). Buchman '843 discloses a tamper evident and resealable bag, comprising: a first sheet and a second sheet of plasticized material, said sheets being secured together along opposite extending side edges; at least one pair of reclosable portions (male and female profiles) extending in opposing fashion and proximate a top extending edge of said sheets of material; a looped header portion (tamper evident seal 50) extending integrally from said reclosable portions along a selected distance corresponding to said top; and a zipper (slider device 16) secured over said reclosable portions in proximity to said looped header portion, traversing of said zipper along said reclosable portions causing cutting of said looped header (see column 5, lines 48-58); at least one item being pre-inserted into an open bottom established between said opposite extending sides of said first and second sheets and prior to sealingly engaging said bottom. See Figure 2 embodiment.

With respect to claims 2 and 4, note the first and second extending slots in Figure 2 embodiment.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,143 Page 4

Art Unit: 3728

With respect to claim 3, note the first and second pairs of reclosable portions (12 and 14) extending in spaced apart fashion along said top in Figure 1 embodiment.

The product-by-process limitations in claims 9 and 10 results in no structure that is different from Buchman '843.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buchman '843 in view of Strand '513. Buchman '843 as described above discloses all the limitations of the claims except for an end stop being formed at each of opposite ends of said reclosable portions subsequent to attaching said zipper. Strand '513 discloses that it is desirable to provide an end stop being formed at each of opposite ends of said reclosable portions subsequent to attaching said zipper. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an end stop formed at each of opposite ends of said reclosable portion's of Buchman '843 as taught by Strand '513 subsequent to attaching said zipper to prevent the zipper to protect the side edges of the bag.

## Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shown are tamper evident and resealable bags analogous to applicant's instant application.
- 12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jila M. Mohandesi whose telephone number is (571) 272-4558. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-4:00 (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JILA M. MOHANDESI PRIMARY EXAMINER Jila M Mohandesi Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

JMM June 28, 2005